UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,381	11/12/2003	Moris Dovek	HT02-016	6373
28112 SAILE ACKER	7590 09/29/201 RMAN LLC	EXAMINER		
28 DAVIS AVENUE			DRAVININKAS, ADAM B	
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,381	DOVEK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ADAM B. DRAVININKAS	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Period for Reply	VIC OFT TO EVOIDE AMONTU	(C) OD TUBETY (20) DAYO
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 4-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the second secon	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicatority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	∆ □ late= :	v(DTO 442)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	Date

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 16 August 2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Re. claim 4: the limitation "a peripheral magnetic field" was not described in the specification as originally filed.

Claims 5-10 are rejected because of their respective dependencies.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2627

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by "a peripheral magnetic field" since there is no explanation or definition in the specification and this is not a term of art. The Examiner asks Applicant what is meant by the above term. For purposes of this Office Action, the above term has been interpreted to mean "a magnetic field."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US 6,791,793 B1) in view of Takano et al. (US 2002/0080521 A1).

 Re. claim 4: Chen discloses:

a magnetic write head, having an air bearing surface (ABS), comprising:

directly on a substrate, a first layer (96) of high magnetic permeability material, having, on a first side, an edge whose surface is normal to said substrate and parallel to said ABS, that serves as a primary lower magnetic pole; (see fig. 7; col. 6 lines 6-23)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,381

Art Unit: 2627

a first non-magnetic layer (98) that contacts said first layer of high magnetic permeability material only at said edge and extends away therefrom, said non-magnetic layer having a top surface that is coplanar with that of said primary lower magnetic pole; (see fig. 7; col. 6 lines 6-23, col. 8 lines 9-16)

Page 4

a second layer (108) of high magnetic permeability material that serves as a secondary lower pole that fully covers and contacts said primary lower magnetic pole and said first non-magnetic layer, above which it serves as a ledge having a width; (see fig. 7; col. 8 lines 7-16)

a field coil (94) over, and insulated from, said primary and secondary lower poles; (see fig. 7; col. 5 lines 58-67)

an upper magnetic pole (90 and 92 combined) that overlies said field coil, contacting said secondary lower pole (108) at a second side that is opposite to said first side, and that is separated from said ledge at said first side by at least a second layer (95 and 99 combined) of non-magnetic material that is a write gap across which a magnetic field may be generated for the purpose of writing data, said upper magnetic pole having, at the write gap, a width equal to said ledge width, whereby it defines a track width; (see figs. 7, 8; col. 5 lines 50-57, col. 6 lines 1-5)

said ledge extending away from said primary lower pole by an amount; and (see fig. 7)

said secondary lower pole having a thickness that remains unchanged over said secondary lower pole's entire length. (see thickness of 108) (see fig. 7)

Art Unit: 2627

Chen fails to disclose or fairly suggest:

the substrate is a non-magnetic substrate.

Takano discloses:

a magnetic writing head having a substrate (5) made of alumina titanium carbide. (see fig. 5; para. 0064)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the substrate of Chen out of alumina titanium carbide as taught by Takano. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to increase the wear resistance of the substrate and to ensure electrical isolation for the magnetic write head.

Re. claim 5: Chen discloses said first layer (96) of high magnetic permeability material is NiFe, CoNiFe, and has a thickness between about 0.5 and 2.0 microns. (see col. 6 lines 7-24)

Re. claim 6: Chen discloses said non-magnetic layer (98) is aluminum oxide. (see col. 8 lines 8-16)

Re. claim 7: Chen discloses said second layer (108) of high magnetic permeability material is NiFe, CoNiFe, and has a thickness between about 0.5 and 2.0 microns. (see col. 6 lines 7-24)

Art Unit: 2627

Re. claim 8: Chen discloses said upper magnetic pole (90) is NiFe, CoNiFe, and has a thickness between about 0.3 and 3 microns. (see col. 5 lines 50-58, col. 6 lines 6-12)

Re. claim 9: Chen discloses said width is about 0.1 microns. (see col. 8 lines 55-65)

Re. claim 10: Chen discloses said amount that said ledge extends away from said primary lower pole is between about 0.1 and 1 microns. (see claim 19)

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 16 August 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues on page 4, that Chen fails to disclose the claimed write gap which is usable "in a peripheral recording write head (the type of recording head disclosed by the present invention)." The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Nowhere in the originally filed specification has Applicant defined the term "peripheral recording write head" and such a term is not a term of art. If Applicant intended to instead use the phrase "longitudinal recording write head" in the arguments and/or the claims, such a term would be appropriate based on the shape and layers of the write head illustrated in at least Fig. 4 of the present application. Further, it appears that as written, the claimed limitation "a write gap across which a peripheral magnetic field may be generated for the purpose of writing data" is an intended use of a write gap rather than an explicit structure of a write gap or write gap layer.

Art Unit: 2627

9. Applicant additionally argues that in Chen's write head shown in fig. 7 the *recording medium* provides a return flux path for magnetic flux. However this is untrue because at column 5, lines 56-58, Chen explicitly discloses the *bottom pole* (90) as the return path for magnetic flux during a write operation onto the magnetic disc.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADAM B. DRAVININKAS whose telephone number is (571)270-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday and Alt. Fridays 10:00a - 7:00p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2627

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian E. Miller/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627

September 21, 2010 /A. B. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 2627